

TABLE 01.13.09.01

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota - Tuesday, 13 Jan, 2009

Attendance: 185
 Survey Responses: 82
 Response Rate: 44.3%

Douglas Arent: Rethinking our Energy Economy: Prospects and Challenges
 (Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>									
#1	81	6.2	5	2.5	2	11.1	# 9	14.8	12	65.4	53	4.31
#2	79	13.9	11	12.3	10	25.3	20	24.1	19	24.1	19	3.31
#4	66	3.0	2	1.5	1	15.2	10	36.4	24	43.9	29	4.17
#5	76	13.2	10	22.4	17	22.4	17	28.9	22	13.2	10	3.07
#6	78	7.7	6	14.1	11	21.8	17	23.1	18	33.3	26	3.60
#7	77	23.4	18	14.3	11	16.9	13	19.5	15	26.0	20	3.10

Overall Numerical Rating: **3.08**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **51.3%**

- Question 1: The over-all subject matter was relevant and of interest 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 2: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 3: The use of musical illustrations was relevant. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 5: I found the speaker inspiring and entertaining. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 6: I learned something I didn't know before. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 7: I would like SILL to invite this speaker to return. 1 2 3 4 5

(Irrelevant question -- not answered or tabulated)

TABLE 01.20.09.01

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota - Tuesday, 20 Jan, 2009

Attendance: 131
 Survey Responses: 61
 Response Rate: 46.6%

Dr. John Silva: The Outlook for the U.S. Economy Under a New Administration

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>									
#1	61	4.9	3	0.0	0	4.9	3	14.8	9	75.4	46	4.56
#2	61	4.9	3	1.6	1	4.9	3	8.2	5	80.3	49	4.57
#3	61	6.6	4	3.3	2	11.5	7	23.0	14	55.7	34	4.18
#4	57	3.5	2	1.8	1	12.3	7	24.6	14	57.9	33	4.32
#5	60	10.0	6	3.3	2	8.3	5	16.7	10	61.7	37	4.17

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.36**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **87.2%**

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

TABLE 01.20.09.02

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Venice - Tuesday, 20 Jan, 2009

Attendance: 163

Survey Responses: 54

Response Rate: 33.1%

Dr. John Silva: The Outlook for the U.S. Economy Under a New Administration

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>									
#1	54	3.7	2	0.0	0	5.6	3	11.1	6	79.6	43	4.63
#2	53	3.8	2	1.9	1	5.7	3	11.3	6	77.4	41	4.57
#3	54	7.4	4	3.7	2	13.0	7	25.9	14	50.0	27	4.07
#4	53	11.3	6	0.0	0	7.5	4	18.9	10	62.3	33	4.21
#5	54	14.8	8	7.4	4	3.7	2	13.0	7	61.1	33	3.98

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.29**

(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **85.8%**

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. 1 2 3 4 5

TABLE 01.27.09.01

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota - Tuesday, 27 Jan, 2009

Attendance: 251
 Survey Responses: 87
 Response Rate: 34.7%

Drs. Michael Tanner and Ted Marmor
Healthcare Reform Debate: Why, How, When Likely?

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>									
#1	87	4.6	4	1.1	1	0.0	0	6.9	6	87.4	76	4.71
#2	86	4.7	4	1.1	1	0.0	0	11.6	10	82.6	71	4.66
#3	86	3.5	3	0.0	0	3.5	3	24.4	21	68.6	59	4.55
#4	84	4.8	4	1.2	1	9.5	8	21.4	18	63.1	53	4.37
#5 **	78	5.1	4	1.3	1	5.1	4	12.8	10	75.6	59	4.53

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.56**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **91.3%**

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

NOTE: In 9 (11.6%) of responses, the positive rating on this question referred to Dr. Tanner only.

TABLE 01.27.09.02

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Venice - Tuesday, 27 Jan, 2009
 Attendance: 233
 Survey Responses: 132
 Response Rate: 56.6%

Drs. Michael Tanner and Ted Marmor
Healthcare Reform Debate: Why, How, When Likely?
 (Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>									
#1	132	4.5	6	0.0	0	1.5	2	8.3	11	85.6	113	4.70
#2	130	4.6	6	0.0	0	6.2	8	13.1	17	76.2	99	4.56
#3	132	5.3	7	5.3	7	9.1	12	16.7	22	63.6	84	4.28
#4	126	5.6	7	7.1	9	15.1	19	15.9	20	56.3	71	4.10
#5	122	13.1	16	6.6	8	8.2	10	12.3	15	59.8	73	3.99

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.33**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **86.6%**

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota - Tuesday, 03 February 2009
 Attendance: 288
 Survey Responses: 79
 Response Rate: 27.4%

Stephen Dachi: Contemporary China: Emerging 21st Century Superpower

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>									
#1	79	5.1	4	2.5	2	1.3	1	2.5	2	88.6	70	4.67
#2	78	3.8	3	2.5	2	1.3	1	7.7	6	84.6	66	4.67
#3	79	6.3	5	2.5	2	1.3	1	13.9	11	75.9	60	4.51
#4	74	2.7	2	2.7	2	6.8	5	16.2	12	71.6	53	4.51
#5	78	6.4	5	2.6	2	1.3	1	3.8	3	85.9	67	4.60

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.59**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **91.8%**

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Venice - Tuesday, 03 February 2009

Attendance: 235
 Survey Responses: 79
 Response Rate: 33.6%

Stephen Dachi: Contemporary China: Emerging 21st Century Superpower

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>									
#1	79	2.5	2	0.0	0	0.0	0	2.5	2	94.9	75	4.87
#2	79	1.3	1	0.0	0	2.5	2	6.3	5	89.9	71	4.84
#3	79	2.5	2	2.5	2	10.1	8	15.2	12	69.6	55	4.47
#4	76	2.6	2	2.6	2	2.6	2	10.5	8	81.6	62	4.66
#5	79	3.8	3	1.3	1	5.1	4	4.0	4	84.8	67	4.62

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.69**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **93.8%**

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota - Wednesday, 04 February 2009

Attendance: 468
 Survey Responses: 138
 Response Rate: 29.5%

Stephen Dachi: Japan: Slipping or Holding its Own as the World's Second Largest Economy

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>									
#1	138	2.2	3	0.0	0	7.2	10	25.4	35	65.2	90	4.51
#2	135	5.9	8	6.5	9	13.3	18	25.2	34	48.9	66	4.04
#3	137	2.2	3	2.2	3	2.9	4	20.4	28	72.3	99	4.58
#4	125	3.2	4	0.8	1	3.2	4	25.6	32	67.2	84	4.53
#5	138	2.9	4	0.7	1	6.5	9	16.7	23	73.2	101	4.57

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.45**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **88.9%**

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota - Thursday, 05 February 2009

Attendance: 507
 Survey Responses: 98
 Response Rate: 19.3%

Stephen Dachi: North and South Korea: Can This Long-Divorced Couple Ever Get Together Again?

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>									
#1	98	1.0	1	1.0	1	0.0	0	16.3	16	81.6	80	4.77
#2	98	4.1	4	6.1	6	17.3	17	27.6	27	44.9	44	4.03
#3	98	2.0	2	1.0	1	0.0	0	14.3	14	82.7	81	4.74
#4	86	1.2	1	0.0	0	1.2	1	10.5	9	87.2	75	4.83
#5	96	3.1	3	1.0	1	3.1	3	17.7	17	75.0	72	4.60

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.59**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **91.9%**

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Venice - Friday, 06 February, 2009
 Attendance:327
 Survey Responses: 99
 Response Rate: 30.3%

Stephen Dachi: North and South Korea: Can This Long-Divorced Couple Ever Get Together Again?

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>									
#1	99	4.0	4	0.0	0	1.0	1	24.2	24	70.7	70	4.58
#2	99	2.0	2	10.1	10	12.1	12	19.2	19	56.6	56	4.18
#3	99	2.0	2	5.1	5	9.1	9	23.2	23	60.6	60	4.35
#4	96	1.0	1	4.2	4	3.1	3	20.8	20	70.8	68	4.56
#5	98	4.1	4	8.2	8	8.2	8	12.2	12	67.3	66	4.31

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.40**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **87.9%**

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota - Tuesday, 10 February, 2009

Attendance: 235
 Survey Responses: 84
 Response Rate: 35.7%

Dr. Peter Bechtold: Iran, Syria and Lebanon

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>									
#1	84	2.4	2	0.0	0	2.4	2	7.1	6	88.1	74	4.79
#2	84	2.4	2	0.0	0	4.8	4	21.4	18	71.4	60	4.60
#3	84	2.4	2	1.2	1	4.8	4	16.7	14	75.0	63	4.61
#4	81	2.5	2	1.2	1	4.9	4	6.2	5	85.2	69	4.70
#5	84	3.6	3	3.6	3	4.8	4	6.0	5	82.1	69	4.60

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.66**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **93.1%**

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Venice - Tuesday, 10 February, 2009
 Attendance: 301
 Survey Responses: 70
 Response Rate: 23.2%

Dr. Peter Bechtold: Iran, Syria and Lebanon

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	
#1	68	8.8	6	0.0	0	2.9	2	11.8	8	76.5	52	4.47
#2	66	3.0	2	1.5	1	3.0	2	9.1	6	83.3	55	4.68
#3	69	20.3	14	8.7	6	15.9	11	15.9	11	39.1	27	3.45
#4	54	7.4	4	1.9	1	9.3	5	16.7	9	64.8	35	4.30
#5	65	21.5	14	4.6	3	4.6	3	15.4	10	53.8	35	3.75

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.13**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **82.6%**

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota - Wednesday, 11 February 2009

Attendance: 504
 Survey Responses: 81
 Response Rate: 16.1%

Dr. Peter Bechtold: Understanding Iran

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>									
#1	81	3.7	3	1.2	1	0.0	0	8.6	7	86.4	70	4.73
#2	81	4.9	4	0.0	0	1.2	1	7.4	6	86.4	70	4.70
#3	81	2.5	2	1.2	1	4.9	4	16.0	13	75.3	61	4.60
#4	72	1.4	1	1.4	1	2.8	2	9.7	7	84.7	61	4.75
#5	81	4.9	4	1.2	1	2.5	2	7.4	6	84.0	68	4.64

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.69**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **93.7%**

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota - Thursday, 19 February 2009

Attendance: 464
 Survey Responses: 61
 Response Rate: 13.1%

John Glenn: Foreign Policy Challenges For President Obama

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>	<u>% Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>										
#1	61	3.3	2	3.3	2	8.2	5	18.0	11	67.2	41	4.43	88.5%
#2	61	1.6	1	9.8	6	4.9	3	19.7	12	63.9	39	4.34	86.9%
#3	61	6.6	4	9.8	6	19.7	12	27.9	17	36.1	22	3.77	75.4%
#4	55	5.5	3	5.5	3	12.7	7	10.9	6	65.5	36	4.25	85.1%
#5	60	11.7	7	3.3	2	21.7	13	15.0	9	48.3	29	3.85	77.0%

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.13**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **82.6%**

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Venice - Friday, 20 February 2009

Attendance: 402
 Survey Responses: 72
 Response Rate: 17.9%

John Glenn: Foreign Policy Challenges For President Obama

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>	<u>% Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>										
#1	72	1.4	1	2.8	2	1.4	1	22.2	16	72.2	52	4.61	92.2%
#2	72	4.2	3	1.4	1	12.5	9	22.2	16	59.7	43	4.32	86.4%
#3	72	4.2	3	1.4	1	6.9	5	30.6	22	56.9	41	4.35	86.9%
#4	72	2.8	2	2.8	2	6.9	5	25.0	18	62.5	45	4.42	88.3%
#5	72	4.2	3	2.8	2	9.7	7	25.0	18	58.3	42	4.31	86.1%

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.40**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **88.0%**

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota - Tuesday, 24 February 2009

Attendance: 194
 Survey Responses: 44
 Response Rate: 22.7%

Dr. Gwendolyn Mikell: A new Generation of African Leaders: Challenges and Possibilities

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>	<u>% Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>										
#1	42	11.9	5	14.3	6	28.6	12	26.2	11	19.0	8	3.26	65.2%
#2	41	24.4	10	21.4	9	9.8	4	24.4	10	19.5	8	2.92	58.3%
#3	43	23.3	10	14.0	6	32.6	14	18.6	8	11.6	5	2.81	56.3%
#4	32	12.5	4	3.1	1	12.5	4	31.3	10	40.6	13	3.84	76.9%
#5	42	35.7	15	14.3	6	23.8	10	11.9	5	14.3	6	2.55	51.0%

Overall Numerical Rating: **3.08**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: 61.5%

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

EvalV0224mikell

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Venice - Tuesday, 24 February 2009

Attendance: 131
Survey Responses: 36
Response Rate: 27.5%

Dr. Gwendolyn Mikell: A new Generation of African Leaders: Challenges and Possibilities

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u> % #	<u>2</u> % #	<u>3</u> % #	<u>4</u> % #	<u>5</u> % #	<u>Numerical Rating</u>	<u>% Rating</u>
#1	36	5.6 2	2.8 1	16.7 6	33.3 12	41.7 15	4.03	80.6%
#2	34	5.9 2	11.1 4	20.6 7	26.5 9	35.3 12	3.72	74.4%
#3	36	13.9 5	19.4 7	27.8 10	22.2 8	16.7 6	3.08	61.7%
#4	28	3.6 1	10.7 3	17.9 5	28.6 8	39.3 11	3.89	77.9%
#5	33	27.3 9	6.1 2	27.3 9	18.2 6	21.2 7	3.00	60.0%

Overall Numerical Rating: **3.55**
(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **70.9%**

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota - Wednesday, 25 February 2009

Attendance: 356
 Survey Responses: 76
 Response Rate: 21.3%

Dr. Gwendolyn Mikell: A new Generation of African Leaders: Challenges and Possibilities

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>	<u>% Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>										
#1	76	5.3	4	11.8	9	18.4	14	21.1	16	43.4	33	3.86	77.1%
#2	76	17.1	13	11.8	9	13.2	10	27.6	21	30.3	23	3.42	68.4%
#3	76	27.6	21	22.4	17	23.7	18	10.5	8	15.8	12	2.64	52.9%
#4	55	9.1	5	18.2	10	25.5	14	25.5	14	21.8	12	3.33	66.5%
#5	75	48.0	36	12.0	9	13.3	10	10.7	8	16.0	12	2.35	46.9%

Overall Numerical Rating: **3.12**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **62.4%**

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota - Thursday, 26 February 2009

Attendance: 399
 Survey Responses: 78
 Response Rate: 19.5%

Dr. Gwendolyn Mikell: A new Generation of African Leaders: Challenges and Possibilities

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u> % #	<u>2</u> % #	<u>3</u> % #	<u>4</u> % #	<u>5</u> % #	<u>Numerical Rating</u>	<u>% Rating</u>
#1	77	11.7 9	15.6 12	32.5 25	19.5 15	20.8 16	3.22	64.4%
#2	74	33.8 25	16.9 13	10.8 8	16.2 12	21.6 16	2.73	54.6%
#3	75	20.0 15	22.7 17	36.0 27	9.3 7	12.0 9	2.71	54.1%
#4	34	8.8 3	5.9 2	32.4 11	26.5 9	26.5 9	3.56	71.2%
#5	73	41.1 30	13.7 10	27.4 20	6.8 5	11.0 8	2.33	46.6%

Overall Numerical Rating: **2.91**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **58.2%**

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota - Tuesday, 03 March 2009

Attendance: 240 Survey Responses: 66 Response Rate: 27.5%

Drs. David Gallo and Patrick Michaels: Global Warming: Reality or Fantasy?

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>	<u>% Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>										
#1	66	1.5	1	0.0	0	9.1	6	19.7	13	69.7	46	4.56	91.2%
#2	66	1.5	1	4.5	3	27.3	18	22.7	15	43.9	29	4.03	80.6%
#3	66	3.0	2	16.7	11	18.2	12	22.7	15	39.4	26	3.79	75.8%
#4	65	3.1	2	9.2	6	16.9	11	27.7	18	43.1	28	3.98	79.7%
#5	57	3.5	2	7.0	4	22.8	13	31.6	18	35.1	20	3.88	77.5%
#6	66	1.5	1	12.1	8	21.2	14	25.8	17	39.4	26	3.89	77.9%
#7	64	0.0	0	1.6	1	10.9	7	21.9	14	65.6	42	4.52	90.3%
#8	57	7.0	4	3.5	2	7.0	4	28.1	16	54.4	31	4.19	83.9%

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.05**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **81.0%**

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 2: The debate was conducted in a well-organized fashion. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 3: Debater #1 (Gallo) conveyed his message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 4: Debater #2 (Michaels) conveyed his message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 5: Speakers answered questions effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 6: The moderator guided the debate effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 7: Speakers had enough time to state their case. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 8: I would like to see a continuation of the debate format in the future. 1 2 3 4 5

See Important note following.
 See Important note following.

IMPORTANT NOTE: 30.3% of the respondents identified Dr. Michaels as Debater #1, while 47.0% identified Dr. Gallo as Debater #1 and 22.7% identified neither debater as #1. Therefore, results for questions #3 and #4 are not valid. Results from these two questions are included ONLY to indicate the overall, COMBINED level of perceived effectiveness of the debaters. There is no statistical validity intended.

PROGRAM EVALUATION Venice - Tuesday, 03 March 2009

Attendance:256 Survey Responses: 52 Response Rate: 20.3%

Drs. David Gallo and Patrick Michaels: Global Warming: Reality or Fantasy?

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	52	7.7	4	1.9	1	0.0	0	15.4	8	75.0	39	4.48	89.6%
#2	50	10.0	5	17.3	9	16.0	8	18.0	9	38.0	19	3.55	70.9%
#3	51	13.7	7	2.0	1	13.7	7	27.5	14	43.1	22	3.84	76.9%
#4	45	6.7	3	4.4	2	17.8	8	40.0	18	31.1	14	3.84	76.9%
#5	49	4.1	2	10.2	5	20.4	10	22.4	11	42.9	21	3.90	78.0%
#6	51	7.8	4	15.7	8	17.6	9	19.6	10	39.2	20	3.67	73.3%
#7	50	0.0	0	14.0	7	10.0	5	34.0	17	42.0	21	4.04	80.8%
#8	46	10.9	5	10.9	5	6.5	3	8.7	4	63.0	29	4.02	80.4%

Overall Numerical Rating: **3.92**
(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **78.4%**

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 2: The debate was conducted in a well-organized fashion. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 3: Debater #1 (Gallo) conveyed his message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 4: Debater #2 (Michaels) conveyed his message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 5: Speakers answered questions effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 6: The moderator guided the debate effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 7: Speakers had enough time to state their case. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 8: I would like to see a continuation of the debate format in the future. 1 2 3 4 5

See Important note following.
See Important note following.

IMPORTANT NOTE: 57.8% of the respondents identified Dr. Michaels as Debater #1, while 21.1% identified Dr. Gallo as Debater #1 and 21.1% identified neither debater as #1. Therefore, results for questions #3 and #4 are not valid. Results from these two questions are included ONLY to indicate the overall, COMBINED level of perceived effectiveness of the debaters. There is no statistical validity intended.

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota - Wednesday, 04 March, 2009

Attendance: 399
 Survey Responses: 109
 Response Rate: 27.3%

Michael Hurley: Are We Safer Now?

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>	<u>% Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>		
#1	109	1.8	2	0.0	0	3.7	4	8.3	9	86.2	94	4.77	95.41
#2	109	3.7	4	2.8	3	4.6	5	12.8	14	76.1	83	4.55	91.01
#3	109	6.4	7	2.8	3	6.4	7	14.7	16	69.7	76	4.39	87.71
#4	100	2.0	2	5.0	5	11.0	11	24.0	24	58.0	58	4.31	86.20
#5	108	5.6	6	6.5	7	3.7	4	9.3	10	75.0	81	4.42	88.33

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.49**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: 89.7%

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota - Thursday, 05 March, 2009

Attendance: 445
 Survey Responses: 93
 Response Rate: 20.9%

Michael Hurley: National Security Reform: Is it Working?

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u> % #	<u>2</u> % #	<u>3</u> % #	<u>4</u> % #	<u>5</u> % #	<u>Numerical Rating</u>	<u>% Rating</u>
#1	93	2.2 2	1.1 1	0.0 0	7.5 7	89.2 83	4.81	96.13
#2	92	2.2 2	1.1 1	7.6 7	19.6 18	69.6 64	4.53	90.65
#3	93	2.2 2	1.1 1	0.0 0	15.1 14	81.7 76	4.73	94.62
#4	79	1.3 1	0.0 0	2.5 2	12.7 10	83.5 66	4.77	95.44
#5	93	3.2 3	0.0 0	5.4 5	16.1 15	75.3 70	4.60	92.04

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.69**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: 93.8%

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Venice - Friday, 06 March 2009

Attendance: 304

Survey Responses: 37

Response Rate: 12.2%

Michael Hurley: Are We Safer Now?

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u> % #	<u>2</u> % #	<u>3</u> % #	<u>4</u> % #	<u>5</u> % #	<u>Numerical Rating</u>	<u>% Rating</u>
#1	37	5.4 2	0.0 0	0.0 0	8.1 3	86.5 32	4.70	94.05
#2	37	8.1 3	0.0 0	5.4 2	16.2 6	70.3 26	4.41	88.11
#3	37	2.7 1	2.7 1	2.7 1	13.5 5	78.4 29	4.62	92.43
#4	35	0.0 0	2.9 1	2.9 1	11.4 4	82.9 29	4.74	94.86
#5	37	5.4 2	2.7 1	2.7 1	10.8 4	78.4 29	4.54	90.81

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.60**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: 92.1%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Venice - Monday, March 09, 2009

Attendance: 131

Survey Responses: 53 Response Rate 40.5%

Joseph Colaneri: The Past, Present and Future of the Metropolitan Opera

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#				
#1	53	1.9	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	3.8	2	94.3	50	4.89	97.74
#2	53	1.9	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	1.9	1	96.2	51	4.91	98.11
#3	53	1.9	1	0.0	0	1.9	1	7.5	4	88.7	47	4.81	96.23
#4	47	2.1	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	6.4	3	91.5	43	4.85	97.02
#5	53	1.9	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	5.7	3	92.5	49	4.87	97.36
#6	53	1.9	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	3.8	2	94.3	50	4.89	97.74
#7	53	1.9	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	1.9	1	96.2	51	4.91	98.11

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.87**

(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **97.4%**

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The over-all subject matter was relevant and of interest | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The use of musical illustrations was relevant. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: I found the speaker inspiring and entertaining. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 6: I learned something I didn't know before. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 7: I would like SILL to invite this speaker to return. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

TABLE 01.13.09.02

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Venice - Tuesday, 13 Mar, 2009

Attendance: 157

Survey Responses: 53

Response Rate: 33.8%

Douglas Arent: Rethinking Our Energy Economy: Prospects and Challenges

* Numerical Rating: 1= strongly disagree; 5=strongly agree

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>*Numerical Rating</u>
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
#1	53	5.7	3	0.0	0	1.9	1	17.0	9	75.5	40	4.57
#2	52	1.9	1	1.9	1	13.5	7	11.5	6	71.2	37	4.48
#3	52	3.8	2	9.6	5	21.2	11	30.8	16	34.6	18	3.83
#4	51	2.0	1	3.9	2	5.9	3	31.4	16	56.9	29	4.37
#5	53	7.5	4	13.2	7	5.7	3	22.6	12	50.9	27	3.96

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.24**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: **84.8%**

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota - Tuesday, 17 March, 2009

Attendance: 324
 Survey Responses: 44
 Response Rate: 13.6%

Ambassador Philip Wilcox : Are Israelis and Palestinians Doomed to Perpetual Conflict?

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>	<u>% Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>		
#1	44	0.0	0	0.0	0	2.3	1	0.0	0	97.7	43	4.95	99.09
#2	44	0.0	0	2.3	1	0.0	0	9.1	4	88.6	39	4.84	96.82
#3	44	0.0	0	2.3	1	2.3	1	9.1	4	86.4	38	4.80	95.91
#4	42	4.8	2	0.0	0	2.4	1	4.8	2	88.1	37	4.71	94.29
#5	44	6.8	3	2.3	1	2.3	1	9.1	4	79.5	35	4.52	90.45

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.77**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: 95.3%

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Venice - Tuesday, 17 March, 2009

Attendance: 207
 Survey Responses: 17
 Response Rate: 8.2%

Ambassador Philip Wilcox: Are Israelis and Palestinians Doomed to Perpetual Conflict

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>	<u>% Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>										
#1	17	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	100.0	17	5.00	100.00
#2	17	5.9	1	0.0	0	5.9	1	17.6	3	70.6	12	4.47	89.41
#3	17	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	11.8	2	88.2	15	4.88	97.65
#4	17	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	5.9	1	94.1	16	4.94	98.82
#5	16	0.0	0	0.0	0	6.3	1	6.3	1	87.5	14	4.81	96.25

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.82**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: 96.4%

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota - Tuesday, 24 March, 2009
 Attendance: 180
 Survey Responses: 47
 Response Rate: 26.1%

Janice Bay: The U.S. and the Developing European Union in a Changing World

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u> % #	<u>2</u> % #	<u>3</u> % #	<u>4</u> % #	<u>5</u> % #	<u>Numerical Rating</u>	<u>% Rating</u>
#1	47	2.1 1	2.1 1	12.8 6	14.9 7	68.1 32	4.45	88.94
#2	46	4.3 2	2.1 1	6.5 3	30.4 14	56.5 26	4.33	86.50
#3	47	4.3 2	2.1 1	2.1 1	29.8 14	61.7 29	4.43	88.51
#4	44	2.3 1	0.0 0	6.8 3	29.5 13	61.4 27	4.48	89.55
#5	47	6.4 3	2.1 1	12.8 6	25.5 12	53.2 25	4.17	83.40

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.37**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: 87.4%

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Venice - Tuesday, 24 March, 2009

Attendance: 145
 Survey Responses: 37
 Response Rate: 25.6%

Janice Bay: The U.S. and the Developing European Union in a Changing World

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>	<u>% Rating</u>
		<u>%</u>	<u>#</u>										
#1	37	0.0	0	5.4	2	2.7	1	18.9	7	73.0	27	4.59	91.89
#2	36	2.8	1	8.1	3	11.1	4	11.1	4	66.7	24	4.30	86.02
#3	35	5.7	2	0.0	0	14.3	5	14.3	5	65.7	23	4.34	86.86
#4	35	0.0	0	5.7	2	5.7	2	20.0	7	68.6	24	4.51	90.29
#5	36	5.6	2	0.0	0	8.3	3	27.8	10	58.3	21	4.33	86.67

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.42**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: 88.3%

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Sarasota - 25 March, 2009

Attendance: 326

Survey Responses: 76

Response Rate: 23.3%

Ambassador Tom Dodd: U.S./Latin America: Continuity and Change in the Making of Diplomatic Relations

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

<u>Question</u>	<u>Total Responses</u>	<u>1</u> % #	<u>2</u> % #	<u>3</u> % #	<u>4</u> % #	<u>5</u> % #	<u>Numerical Rating</u>	<u>% Rating</u>
#1	76	1.3 1	2.6 2	3.9 3	35.5 27	56.6 43	4.43	88.68
#2	76	1.3 1	2.6 2	6.6 5	31.6 24	57.9 44	4.42	88.42
#3	76	2.6 2	1.3 1	15.8 12	25.0 19	55.3 42	4.29	85.79
#4	69	2.9 2	1.4 1	5.8 4	20.3 14	69.6 48	4.52	90.43
#5	76	6.6 5	1.3 1	9.2 7	26.3 20	56.6 43	4.25	85.00

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.38**
(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: 87.7%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. 1 2 3 4 5
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota - Thursday, 26 March, 2009

Attendance: 400
 Survey Responses: 59
 Response Rate: 14.8%

Ambassador Tom Dodd: Latin America: Nationalism and Populism in 21st Century Diplomatic Relations

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		<u>Numerical Rating</u>	<u>% Rating</u>
		%	#										
#1	58	3.4	2	5.2	3	8.6	5	19.0	11	63.8	37	4.34	86.90
#2	57	7.0	4	6.9	4	8.8	5	24.6	14	52.6	30	4.09	81.71
#3	59	6.8	4	8.5	5	18.6	11	28.8	17	37.3	22	3.81	76.27
#4	41	4.9	2	2.4	1	1.0	2	19.5	8	68.3	28	4.32	86.45
#5	58	12.1	7	12.1	7	6.9	4	27.6	16	41.4	24	3.74	74.83

Overall Numerical Rating: **4.06**
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Overall Percentage Rating: 81.2%

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years. | 1 2 3 4 5 |